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#### Abstract

We prove that - If the right ideal A of a weakly standard ring R is maximal and nil, A is a two - sided ideal and - If A is minimal, then it is either a two - sided ideal of R or the ideal it generates is contained in the nucleus.
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\section*{INTRODUCTION}


Hentzel and Smith [1] studied the properties of ideals of right alternative ring R with characteristic $\neq 2$. They proved that if a left ideal $L$ of $R$ is maximal and nil, then $L$ is a two-sided ideal and that when $L$ is minimal, then it is either a two sided ideal or the ideal it generates is contained in the right nucleus of R. Also they have constructed the ideals in locally $(-1,1)$ rings with characteristic $\neq 2$. Paul [2] studied some properties of ideals in accessible rings and in anti flexible rings. In this paper we prove similar properties of maximal and minimal right ideals in weakly standard rings.

## Preliminaries

Throughout this paper R denotes a weakly standard ring. In a weakly standard ring we have the flexible identity $(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{x})=0$ and the following identities:
$((\mathrm{w}, \mathrm{x}), \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{z})=0$
and $(\mathrm{w},(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}), \mathrm{z})=0$.
In any ring $(w x, y, z)-(w, x y, z)+(w, x, y z)=(w, x, y) z+w(x, y, z)$.
We know that a linearization of the flexible law yields the identity
$(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{z})+(\mathrm{z}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{x})=0$
An expression of the form ( $R, a, b$ ) means the set of all finite sums $(x, a, b)$ for $x \in R$; analogous arguments are meant for other form of similar expressions. The nucleus $N$ of $R$ is the set of all elements $n$ in $R$ such that ( $n, R, R)=(R, n$, $R)=(R, R, n)=0$. An ideal $A$ in $R$ is maximal (or minimal) if $A \neq R$ and for any ideal $B \supseteq A$ (or $B \subseteq A$ ), either $B=A$ or $B$ $=\mathrm{R}$. An ideal A in R is a nil ideal if each element of A is nilpotent.

By using $\mathrm{s}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{z})=0$
of characteristic $\neq 2$, we have proved that
$(x, y, z)+(y, z, x)+(z, x, y)=0$.
Now we prove the following properties of the maximal and minimal ideals of R .

## MAIN RESULTS

Theorem 1: Let R be a prime weakly standard ring and let A be a right ideal of R .

- If A is maximal and nil, then A is a two-sided ideal of R .
- If A is minimal, then it is either a two-sided ideal of R or the ideal it generates is contained in the nucleus.

Proof: (i) Suppose the right ideal $A$ is maximal and nil. If $a A \not \subset R$ for some $a \in R$, we consider $A+a A$. This is a right ideal, since using (6), we have
$(\mathrm{a} A) \mathrm{R} \subseteq(\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{A}, \mathrm{R})+\mathrm{a}(\mathrm{AR})$
$\subseteq(\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{a}, \mathrm{R})-(\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{R}, \mathrm{R})+\mathrm{aA}$
$\subseteq \mathrm{A}+\mathrm{aA}$.

Thus $\mathrm{A} \not \subset \mathrm{A}+\mathrm{aA}$ and A maximal imply $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{A}+\mathrm{aA}$.

Let $a=x_{1}+a x_{2}$ where $x_{1}, x_{2} \in A$. Then iterations for $a$ in the right side of this equation, give $a=x_{3}+\left(\left(\left(a x_{2}\right)\right.\right.$ $x_{2)} \ldots x_{2)} x_{2}$, where $x_{3} \in A$ and $x_{2}$ is a factor $n$ times. Now $(R, A, A) \subseteq(A, A, R) \subseteq A$ by (4), and so by finite induction we see that $a=x_{4}+a\left(x_{2}\right)^{n}$ where $x_{4} \in A$. But since $A$ is nil, $\left(x_{2}\right)^{n}=0$ for some $n$. Thus $a \in R$, which means $a A \subseteq A$ is a contradiction. We therefore have $\mathrm{a} A \subseteq \mathrm{~A}$ for $\mathrm{a} \in \mathrm{R}$, i.e. A is a two-sided ideal of R .
(ii) Let us next assume that the right ideal A is minimal, but not a two-sided ideal. Then there exists an $\mathrm{a} \in \mathrm{R}$ such that $a A \not \subset A$. Let $A^{1}=\{x \in A ; a x \in A\}$. Now by using (5), $x \in A^{1}$ implies $x r \in A$ and $a(x r)=x(a r)+(a x-x a) r+(x r) a-$ $x(r a) \in A^{1}$ for all $r \in R$. Thus it follows $A^{1} \subset A$ is a right ideal, and so by the minimality of $A$ we have $A^{1}=(0)$. Clearly $(A, R, R) \subseteq A$.

By (3), a $(r, x, y)=(a r, x, y)-(a, r x, y)+(a, r, x y)-(a, r, x) y$
$\mathrm{By}(1), \quad(\mathrm{ar}, \mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y})=(\mathrm{ra}, \mathrm{x}, \mathrm{a})$ and by (6).
$(\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{rx}, \mathrm{y})=-(\mathrm{rx}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{a})+(\mathrm{rx}, \mathrm{a}, \mathrm{y})$,
$(a, r, x y)=-(r, x y, a)+(r, a, x y)$,
$(\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{r}, \mathrm{x})=-(\mathrm{r}, \mathrm{x}, \mathrm{a})+(\mathrm{r}, \mathrm{a}, \mathrm{x})$.

Thus a $(r, x, y)=(r a, x, y)+(r x, y, a)-(r x, a, y)-(r, x y, a)+(r, a, x y)+(r, x, a) y-(r, a, x) y$.
Hence $\mathrm{a}(\mathrm{r}, \mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}) \in \mathrm{A}$. This implies that $(\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{R}, \mathrm{R}) \subseteq \mathrm{A}^{\mathrm{l}}=(0)$, i.e., $\mathrm{A} \subseteq \mathrm{N}$. We set $\mathrm{W}_{0}=\mathrm{A}$ and $\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{i}+1}=\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{i}}+\mathrm{R} \mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{i}}$ for i $\geq 0$. Suppose $\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{i}}$ is a right ideal of R and contained in N .

Then $\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{i}+1} \mathrm{R}=\left(\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{i}}+\mathrm{RW}_{\mathrm{i}}\right) \mathrm{R} \subseteq \mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{i}}+\left(\mathrm{RW}_{\mathrm{i}}\right) \mathrm{R}$
$\subseteq \quad \mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{i}}+\mathrm{R}\left(\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{R}\right)$

```
\(\subseteq \quad \mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{i}}+\mathrm{RW}_{\mathrm{i}}=\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{i}+1}\),
i.e., \(\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{i}+1}\) is a right ideal. Using (1) \(\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{i}} \subseteq \mathrm{N}\), we have
\(\left(\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{i}+1}, \mathrm{R}, \mathrm{R}\right)=\left(\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{i}}, \mathrm{R}, \mathrm{R}\right)+\left(\mathrm{RW}_{\mathrm{i}}, \mathrm{R}, \mathrm{R}\right)\)
    \(=\left(\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{R}, \mathrm{R}, \mathrm{R}\right)\)
    \(\subseteq\left(\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{i},} \mathrm{R}, \mathrm{R}\right)\)
    \(=(0)\),
    i.e., \(\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{i}+1} \subseteq \mathrm{~N}\).
```

Thus it follows by induction that $W_{i}$ is a right ideal contained in $N$. Since the ideal generated in $R$ by $A$ is simply ${\underset{i}{i=0}}_{\infty} W_{i}$. This completes the proof.
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